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The work of the last 40 years is the result of a war between two very different views about how we acquire language and develop literacy.

The Comprehension Hypothesis says that we acquire language when we understand what we hear or read. Our mastery of the individual components of language ("skills") is the result of getting comprehensible input.

The rival hypothesis, The Skill-Building Hypothesis, says that the causality goes in the other direction: We learn language by first learning grammar rules and memorizing vocabulary, we make these rules of new words "automatic" by producing them in speech or writing, and we fine-tune our (conscious) knowledge of grammar and vocabulary by getting our errors corrected.

In this paper, I briefly present some of the data that supports the Comprehension Hypothesis as well as research that demonstrates the limits of Skill-Building in the area of second language acquisition.

Evidence for the Comprehension Hypothesis

Comparison of Comprehension-Based Methods and Traditional Methods

When comprehensible input-based methods are compared to methods that demand the conscious learning of grammar, comprehensible input methods have never lost.

Krashen (2014a) includes studies of beginning and intermediate language teaching, the latter including content-based (sheltered) instruction and classes that include time set aside for self-selected reading. Several reviews have confirmed the effectiveness of sheltered subject matter teaching (Krashen, 1991; Dupuy, 2000) as well as in-class self-selected reading on tests of vocabulary development and reading comprehension (Jeon & Day, 2014; Nakanishi, 2014). Mason (benikomason.net) includes a number of studies showing that CI-based methods, such as hearing interesting stories
(Storylistening) and pleasure reading are more efficient than "study," that is, more language is acquired per unit time.

**Correlational and Multivariate Studies**

Correlational studies are valuable but interpretation is not always clear: If A is correlated with B, we do not know if A caused B, B caused A, or if some other predictor caused both of them. Multivariate studies help deal with the third situation: With multiple regression, a researcher can determine the impact of one variable while holding the effect of other variables constant. It allows us to assume that the predictors are not correlated with each other.

A number of multiple regression studies show that pleasure reading in the L2 is a more consistent predictor of L2 proficiency than Skill-Building.

This was case in the following studies:
- The acquisition of the subjunctive among adult acquirers of Spanish (Stokes, Krashen and Kartchner (1998),
- For students of English as a foreign language, for grades in composition classes (Lee and Krashen, 2002)
- For students of English as a foreign language, for scores on a test of writing (Lee, 2005)

The amount of pleasure reading done in English was a better predictor of performance on standardized tests of English than predictors related to skill-building (Gradman and Hanania, 1991) or were just as strong (Constantino, Lee, Cho & Krashen, 1997).

**Case Histories**

Case histories are a valid source of research data if we examine a lot of them, see what is common to cases of success and failure, and determine whether the commonalities are consistent with current hypotheses about language acquisition.

I examined a number of case histories in Krashen (2014b). including a famous polyglot (Lomb Kato), a super-student of grammar whose failure to progress in German changed the course of language education (Francois Gouin), a famous archeologist (Heinrich Schliemann), a former president of Singapore (Lee Kuan Yew) and his efforts to acquire Mandarin, and Armando, an immigrant to the US from Mexico, who acquired an impressive amount of Hebrew in addition to English from working in a restaurant
owned by Israelis. I concluded that comprehensible input was the common factor in all of the successful cases.

In a series of case histories, Beniko Mason documented the progress of adult acquirers of EFL who did self-selected reading in English for different durations, from a few months to three years. Krashen and Mason (2015) concluded that Mason's subjects gained an average of a little more than a half-point on the TOEIC test for each hour of reading they did.

In another series of case histories, Kyung-Sook Cho documented progress made by adult ESL acquirers living in the US as a result of reading novels from the Sweet Valley High series (e.g. Cho and Krashen, 1994).

**Rival Hypotheses**

As noted earlier, the major rival to the Comprehension Hypothesis is the Skill-Building hypothesis, which depends on conscious learning, output practice, and correction.

In Krashen (1981), I hypothesized that the conscious learning and application of rules of grammar is subject to strict conditions: The learner has to: 1) know the rule, a daunting challenge in light of the number of grammatical rules and their complexity and ambiguity; 2) has to be thinking about correctness; 3) needs to have time to retrieve and apply the rules. In studies claiming that grammar study has a positive effect, these conditions were met, and the results reported have been very modest and fragile (Krashen, 2003).

**Output Hypotheses**

There are several versions of the hypothesis that we acquire language by producing it. All suffer from the finding that both spoken and written output are too infrequent for output to be a major source of language development (Krashen, 1994). "Comprehensible output," that is, output adjustments that are in response to the conversational partner's lack of comprehension, is also not frequent enough to make a substantial contribution to competence (Krashen, 2005). In addition, there is as yet no evidence that adding output to effective self-selected reading programs in the form of writing results in greater language acquisition (Mason, 2004; Smith, 2006).
Correction

The conditions for the efficacy of error correction appear to be similar if not identical to the conditions for the learning and use of conscious grammar. Truscott has documented the limited impact of correction in a series of analyses (e.g. Truscott, 1999, 2007).

Other Areas

A clear indication that a hypothesis is of value is when it successfully explains phenomena in areas that it was not originally intended to cover: The Comprehension Hypothesis has been useful in areas outside of second language acquisition, such as bilingual education (McField and McField, 2014), first language literacy development (e.g. Krashen, 2004), and animal language (Krashen, 2013).

This article is based on a presentation delivered at IFLT (International Foreign Language Teaching Conference), Denver, July 2017. I thank Carol Gabb for helpful suggestions.
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